

The Kealey PAPER

First Issue
December 1995

Published by the Canadian
Institute for Political Integrity
Box 56097, 407 Laurier Ave. W.,
Ottawa, Ontario K1R 5Z2
(613) 231-7034; fax 747-1644

An introduction to the Assembly of Independent Canadians



Canadian independence starts here!

The Kealey PAPER

First Issue
December 1995

Published by the Canadian Institute for Political Integrity
Box 56097, 407 Laurier Ave. W.
Ottawa, Ontario K1R 5Z2
(613) 231-7034; fax 747-1644

An introduction to the Assembly of Independent Canadians

Exposed RCMP informant fights system that let him down

by Elaine Griffith

A police informant isn't sure who set fire to his house — the biker gang he informed on, or members of the RCMP who wanted to avoid paying for a witness protection programme to hide him.

And the insurance company took advantage of his vulnerable condition and attempted to blame the fire on him.

David, a father of four who must for safety's sake remain anonymous, was offered a part in a cocaine, counterfeit money and stolen Cadillac racket in 1986, but was trying to clean up his act and get away from the criminal activity he had indulged in when he was younger.

He went to the Oshawa RCMP station where police persuaded him to take part in a sting operation, saying they would keep secret the fact that David was an informant. Officer Serge Giroux instructed him to introduce an undercover officer to the drug dealer, who was a friend of David's uncle and also a member of a motorcycle gang. Durham regional police undercover officer Jack Dancey would then introduce a second cop, who would introduce a third, and possibly a fourth, so that David's role as an informer would not be obvious.

Instead, immediately after David introduced Dancey to the dealer, the RCMP moved in and arrested two men, exposing David as the informant.

A few weeks after the arrests, someone set fire to David's house and stole most of his possessions. Later, his car was set on fire and he began to receive death threats from members of the drug-connected gang, which is linked to mafia in Brooklyn, New York and in Hamilton, Ontario.

David asked to be moved, have his



David (a pseudonym) and Glen Kealey leave the parliament buildings after David gave evidence before the justice committee on the need for witness protection bill C-206 Oct. 24. Police exposed David as an informant and then left him without protection from vengeful bikers. (E. Griffith photo)

identity changed and possibly get help with job placement, but the extent of the protection the RCMP gave him was bogus papers showing that he too had been arrested, and photographs of some of the gang members who might come after him.

"It amounts to nothing more than a Mickey Mouse band-aid solution for protection," David said. "They left me like a bird on a wire." A proper protection programme costs between one and four hundred thousand dollars.

David turned down an offer of \$20,000 for which he would have had to sign a waiver relieving the RCMP of their duty to protect him.

David did not know who had burned his house. It could have been the bikers seeking revenge. But the RCMP has a record of similar crimes: for instance, burning the home of ex-undercover agent Paul Vidosa, burning down the Front de Libération du Québec barn, along with assorted break and enter, theft, dynamite

(Continued next page)

Seeking new solutions for Canada

by Elaine Griffith

Canada is in trouble. There's a consensus that the system isn't working.

Our political system allows a person to get elected on 30 per cent of the vote. That means 70 per cent voted against the person. And the type of government created always makes sure this system continues.

The politicians, legal industry, police, business and media all back each other up and make it look normal for the Canadian elites to routinely break laws and line each other's pockets.

The country is being de-industrialised under the free trade agreements with the U.S. and Mexico. We are losing jobs every year.

Half of Quebec wants to separate. However, most separatists believe they could separate and still be part of Canada, thanks to the lies spread by our media monopoly and politicians.

Canadian government officials are right now working on plans to dam James Bay, let it fill up with fresh water, and through a system of dams, export the water to the United States. The U.S. can then grow crops in the desert and dump

them on the Canadian market at below-cost prices to destroy our farming industry and make us dependent on American food conglomerates.

Canadians are sick with worry.

But there are some who refuse to roll over and play dead. Since 1988, a handful of Canadians with vision and courage have been working hard to stop this process.

Some tried to build a new political party, but found that forum to be a magnet for a certain breed of person, the most successful of whom end up in Parliament. They also encountered deliberate sabotage, and discovered there was no way to prevent the party from being taken over by, for instance, a PGO (Private Government Organisation).

Putting together the mounting evidence that the problem was with the system itself, not just with individual crooks in government, these devoted Canadians concluded that the Parliamentary system had to go, and devised a way to do it.

And thus was born the Assembly of Independent Canadians.

The AIC is a new form of government enshrining the principles of democracy, Canadian independence, control over our own resources, and Native self-government with power at the national level.

The plan is to elect to Parliament a government made up of members of the AIC, who would immediately go about changing the law of the land to one which will be decided by the people over the next two years before the 1998 election.

For that election, the AIC will take the form of a political party, since parties are what the system is currently set up for. Immediately after forming the government, the party will dissolve, leaving a government of independent Canadians who will from then on be re-elected or replaced at the will of their constituents. Their constituents will decide when and how the independent riding holds an election, the

Comment

INSIDE:

Free trade implementation scheme right on schedule

Civil servant Shelley Ann Clark recreated the plan she saw which predicts Canada's absorption into the U.S. by 2005



page 4

Corruption in high places

Glen Kealey charged 16 members of the Mulroney government and R.C.M.P. with criminal conspiracy — how come they're not in jail?



page 5

Natives organising to fight new world order

Prophecies say the danger is now

page 9

Who's on your side? A survey of citizens' groups

page 10

representative's salary, and what will go in the riding's written code of conduct, which the representative must follow or be removed. The head of the assembly will no longer be the leader of the political party with the most seats; assembly representatives will elect one from among themselves.

No more Canada-wide federal elections. No more party fanfare. We will, in other words, create the party to end all parties.

The founders of the AIC intend to develop a fair system of government. But they are sure of one thing: the controlled media will never tell Canadians about it.

So the AIC will take its show on the road, travelling by caravan to every riding in Canada, spreading the word. In the way of the old "town crier", the AIC will circumvent the media, gathering in public places to plan the new system and set up a contact network and election team.

This caravan begins in February 1996 in British Columbia, kicking off with Glen Kealey's launching the defence of William Ignace "Wolverine" and his son, arrested at Gustafsen Lake.

See you there.

Cops left him 'like a bird on a wire'

(Continued from page 1)

mite theft, kidnapping, illegal mail opening, and illegal wiretaps (listed under the federal commission of inquiry under Mr. Justice David McDonald).

During the arson investigation, the RCMP never informed the regional police that David had been exposed as an informant to dangerous criminals. Instead, they let the local police concentrate their investigation on David for the arson of his home. David said he believes the RCMP did not want Durham's detective Jim Adams to find the people responsible because it would lead back to the RCMP's bungling of the cases.

Either that, he said, or the RCMP set the fire themselves to discredit him, which again would remove their liability and conceal their wrongdoings.

Puzzling circumstances include the amateurish way in which the house was set on fire (the fire was started in two places), and the irregularities in the theft (the garage full of belongings was completely emptied, but expensive items were still in the house). The RCMP could have intentionally made the arson look amateurish so they would not be suspect.

In his statement of claim against Pilot Insurance, David said the regional police would have a vested interest in not finding the people responsible because the undercover operator working with the RCMP at the time was their own police constable Dancey.

Court records show detective Jim Adams offered a woman immunity from

pay. Pilot Insurance suggested David had committed the crimes himself, although they had no proof to back their position. The police and insurance bureau had confirmed that no charges would be laid against him. But the insurance company devoted the next seven years in a concerted (but ultimately unsuccessful) effort to try to implicate David anyway.

were saying about him. But the information he got showed no record of death threats against him and no mention of police mug shots and falsified paperwork for his protection. "There is an RCMP cover-up from start to finish," David said.

David took up the cause of legislation to provide police protection for informants. He told the press his story and

claim". The company took him to court and the judge ordered David to stop communicating with their clients or potential clients. CIPI ran a "Public Notice" ad in the Feb. 21, 1993 *Oshawa This Week* telling the story and offering court documents. The next issue had a "Public Apology and Retraction" saying the CIPI ad contained untrue statements.

David filed complaints against the officers who arbitrarily, and in breach of a verbal agreement, exposed him. He has been fighting for a witness protection programme for five years now, but manages to leave his own problems aside and assist others who fight the system elsewhere in Canada.

He helps the family of Clayton Miller, a young boy allegedly murdered by local police. He also assisted Debbie Mahaffy in her battle for justice following her daughter's murder at the hands of the serial killer Paul Bernardo.

David even succeeded in interesting a Member of Parliament in the cause of witness protection. Tom Wappel, M.P., has recently introduced a bill that will create a legislated witness protection

A few weeks after the arrests, David's house was set on fire

Pilot Insurance dragged out the investigation, reportedly hiring women to go out with David and tell him they had defrauded their insurance companies to see if he would admit to having done it too. Throughout the hearings and 6,000 pages of testimony, Pilot could not find any evidence against David. David provided evidence showing he was entitled to \$70,000 (now with eight years' worth of interest).

Meanwhile, in 1990, one of the men he introduced to the undercover cop approached David on the street and threatened to kill him. Biker gangs have a reputation of never forgiving or forgetting. David became afraid to leave his home to work, since the home renovation advertising fliers he used showed his picture and address.

David went into hiding and now keeps six different residences. His key-

was invited, along with his lawyer Richard Bosada, on the *Dini Petty Show* in November 1991. Wearing a mask to conceal his identity, David told CTV's audience that people who would like to help the police should use Crime Stoppers, rather than going directly to the RCMP or local police. Crime Stoppers, to some degree, acts as a screen, keeping one's identity secret from police officers who may negligently expose an informant and who will offer no protec-

Access to Information showed no record of death threats or of falsified paperwork

tion.

David applied in 1992 for a permit to carry a handgun for protection. His application was denied, and he was starting to appeal the decision until CIPI's Glen Kealey, who was giving him advice at the time, suggested that he reconsider. Kealey told David a restricted weapon permit would give the RCMP an excuse to shoot him. Paul Vidosa, another police informer, verified that RCMP had shot at him in his driveway. David cancelled the appeal.

He picketed the insurance company with a sign that said, "Don't waste your money on Pilot Insurance - 4 years later Pilot Insurance has still not paid our

programme.

Lately David, assisted by CIPI, prosecuted his own civil case against Pilot Insurance. The trial became the showcase of how the system services the insurance industry's needs. Pilot presented no evidence to justify not having paid the claim. David meticulously documented 42 volumes of evidence to confirm his position. The judge has reserved his judgement.

Glen Kealey accused two members of the RCMP of perjury. A formal complaint was filed against them with the RCMP Public Complaints Commission.

The judge presiding said he intended to make the decision before December 1.

The trial became a showcase of how the system sides with the insurance industry

fraud and theft charges if she would falsely testify that there had been nothing in the garage, from which David claims his furniture and boxes of clothes had been stolen while in storage during his home renovations.

The insurance company refused to

ring looks like a janitor's.

After repeated irregularities such as the RCMP's Serge Giroux's mysterious change of heart on the topic of a polygraph test David volunteered to take, David applied via the Access to Information Act to see just what the police

CIPI PROFILE

Police informant an example for Canadians

It's ironic that a Canadian hero has to remain anonymous so we don't place his life in danger. For this purpose, let's just call him David.

David is a classic example of someone who has turned his life around.

Born poor, he discovered he had a talent for persuasion and carved out a niche on the edge of criminal activity.

When David first fell in love he tried to move away from crime and supported his lavish lifestyle by concentrating more on the telephone marketing business he had created - though it was barely legal itself.

Life was fine until the woman had an affair that ended a relationship he thought would become marriage, leaving his life a shambles.

During his period of grief, David had a brief relationship that resulted in a son. The mother gave him custody of the child, who became David's reason for living and motivation to clean up not only his act but that of the criminal society that surrounded him and the child.

When David volunteered as a police informant, the RCMP double-crossed him, since it is apparently cheaper to allow bikers to kill the marginally criminal informants than it is to provide them with regular witness protection. The order to expose David came from higher up. The RCMP officers did what they had to do. They knew they would be protected from future prosecution by head office in Ottawa.

After his collaboration with police put members of a crime-linked biker

gang behind bars, David found himself the target of arson, theft and vandalism. Fear for his life and his family, together with the insurance company's refusal to pay for the damage, left David with a choice: to strike back at the system and choose a life of crime, or to work around his problem and expose the corruption in the system.

The responsibility he felt he owed to his family swung it for him. He had met and fallen in love with a new woman. Although they could not marry because of the danger that would result, they made three other beautiful kids together.

They agreed that she would work to support the last three kids while David would be free to create his own witness protection programme for himself and the first-born.

She takes care of the children's day-to-day survival while David attempts to solve the longer-term political problem - the result of a political system based on greed. They both survive.

David and his lady are the true heroes of our country - people who, when their integrity is challenged, respond in a manner that makes us all proud to be Canadian. Both understand individual freedom along with responsibility as citizens to their community and country, and both are ready to pay the price of that freedom.

Now if we can find 100,000 civilly disobedient people like them during the upcoming two-year caravan, 100,000 who will join the fight for a different Canada, surely we can put our house in order and Canada will prosper as an independent country.

Who are we?

We are the Canadian Institute for Political Integrity (CIPI), a non-profit organization dedicated to bringing about a democratic and sovereign Canada. We believe this can only be done by exposing the corruption in our political system to such a degree that citizens will come to the conclusion that the system itself must be changed, and not in the usual way. What distinguishes us from other groups is not our analysis of what's wrong; it is the fact that we alone offer a solution that does not merely "patch over" the problem, but eliminates it from the ground up.

Statement of purpose

CIPI's *The Kealey Paper* is the forerunner to *The Lighthouse*, which will document the Assembly of Independent Canadians' cross-Canada caravan to create the basis for democracy. This paper will provide an alternative to the controlled media by presenting ideas and events Canada's media monopoly refuses to acknowledge. We will attempt to put this information in context, showing where it fits into the world order and what you can do. *The Kealey Paper* is also a forum for debate - on the merits and shortcomings of proposed solutions, on which groups and public figures are actually on the side of Canadians and which are merely puppets of the monied interests, and on how best to set up the new political vehicle to replace Canada's parliamentary system.



Crusaders for political integrity: Glen Kealey and Ann Kealey (formerly Shelley Ann Clark) risked it all - now it's your turn to stand up for Canada. Join the cross-country caravan to Parliament Hill and take part in the setting up of a democratic governing body.

If you received this paper in the mail it means one of our volunteers thought you might be interested in this new entity and placed your name on our "one shot" database to receive this first issue free. If you want to stay on our mailing list, please send in the order form on the back cover.

Published monthly by the Canadian Institute for Political Integrity
Box 56097, Minto Place Postal Outlet
407 Laurier Avenue West
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1R 7Z1

Subscriptions in Canada and the U.S. \$60 for twelve issues, payable to CIPI. \$100 elsewhere. Half of the revenue will go to the Assembly of Independent Canadians.

Production editor: Elaine Griffith
Staff writers: Sue Ackerman, John Bowlby, Glen Kealey, Tom Mattinas, Rev. Bob Raisbeck, Severe Ratt, Tony Ruffo
Production/administrative staff: Stephen Clark, John Goodfellow, Claude Guillemette, Ann Kealey, Steve Ryan
Donations welcome. Thanks to: Mrs. Bowlby, Iris Griffith, Capt. Walter Mees, and the anonymous donors.


Children's Aid helps children by breaking up families

by Sue Ackerman

The Children's Aid Society has come under fire from one coast of Canada to the other on occasion.

Now it is the Children's Aid Society (CAS) of Ontario that is accused of questionable activities.

A case that has spanned more than seven years of heartache for one Ottawa mother caught the attention of more than a few people over the past year or so.



Not giving up: Maria Bieber urges parents' rights proponents to sign a petition to abolish the institution that she believes kidnapped her daughter. To get a copy, call (613) 739-9802. (E. Griffith photo)

The story began when Maria Bieber was beset by family problems that found her left with a child, an elderly mother, disappearing assets, and an ex-husband. Welfare became a necessity.

Based on a service that was offered on television in the 1980s – showing a little girl on a swing in front of a farm house explaining she wasn't sad at being in a foster home because when her parents solved their problems she could go back home – Bieber decided to go to the Children's Aid society for help. The commercial ended by saying the Children's Aid Society was there to help in a family crisis situation.

Bieber used the service once in Regina. The social worker treated her nicely and she was permitted to visit her daughter Dora.

Her problems with the CAS began in



Demonstrating with Citizens Against Bad Law, Bieber tells her story to passers-by. (CABL photo)

1987 when, after moving to Ontario, Bieber brought her seven-year-old daughter to the Ottawa-Carleton branch of the CAS for short-term care on two occasions. A Hungarian immigrant with little English and no large family network on which she could call, Bieber saw nothing wrong with accepting such help from the CAS. She was shocked when they labelled her a "bad mother" for having done so.

"This is false advertising," Bieber said. "They said, 'We are here to help,' not to label mothers as unfit."

The second time she brought Dora to the CAS was the beginning of the end of that mother-and-daughter relationship.

Not only was Bieber to lose custody of her daughter, the time came when she was no longer permitted to see her or even talk to her. Finally the CAS arranged for Dora's adoption – against the advice of two court orders.

Bieber has grown to believe that CAS covers up for its employees, who use their immense power to make work for themselves and carry out personal vendettas against people they may not like.

With Bieber and the social worker assigned to her by the CAS, Sybil Foley, Bieber said it was hate at first sight. Bieber said she was repelled by the constant smell of Foley's smoking habit as well as the alcohol she smelled "many, many times" on Foley's breath. She said Foley disliked her on first sight as well, and she did all she could to keep Dora away from her mother.

It was an unhappy liaison.

Bieber consented to unpleasant "supervised visits" because they were the only way she could see her daughter after a period of being denied contact. These visits, attended by Foley, took place in a tiny, windowless room. "My daughter's nails were black and long, uncut, and her ears were dirty. Her clothes looked second-hand. I pointed it out to her [Foley] so she said, 'If all you can do is criticise, then the visit is over.'"

Careers and Opportunities



The Children's Aid Society of Ottawa-Carleton
La Société de l'aide à l'enfance d'Ottawa-Carleton

MANAGEMENT OPPORTUNITY

The Children's Aid Society of Ottawa-Carleton is seeking a Manager/Lawyer to direct its Legal Services Section. This senior position reports to the Associate Executive Director. Responsibilities include the direction and supervision of legal professionals and support staff. It includes engaging external counsel as required. The incumbent liaises with judges and administration staff of the Family Division of the Provincial Court. The successful candidate must hold an LL.B. and be a member in good standing in the Ontario Bar. As a creative self-directed professional, you will possess strong leadership skills and have a proven record of management responsibilities. Experience in human resources, finance and information systems in a similar department is required. A working knowledge of both official languages is desirable. As a prerequisite, the candidate will have at least six years management and legal experience. He/she will have a sound knowledge of the Child and Family Services Act, the Young Offenders Act and the Criminal Code. The position offers challenging and satisfying work with competitive salary and benefits. Salary range \$52,143 - \$66,002. Please submit resume by November 21, 1994 to: Director of Human Resources Children's Aid Society of Ottawa-Carleton 1602 Telesat Court, Gloucester, Ontario K1B 1B1

DOES THIS
MEAN THEY
ARE NOT
PLANNING
A FAIR
TRIAL ????

Ad appearing in the *Ottawa Citizen*, August 6, 1994 (Annotation by Maria Bieber)

ent from the joyful reunion Bieber envisions. "It would be very disruptive and not in the best interests of the girl to bring her before a court to confront her mother," McCarthy said.

Despite the specially decorated bedroom Bieber kept waiting for her daughter's return for more than five years, Dora was given "stability" by the CAS by being moved in and out of several foster homes around scattered rural Ontario communities over those

vexatious and frivolous – among them refusing to take a second set of finger prints, opening a bank account in someone else's name (on behalf of her mother, whose money she managed), and making threatening phone calls. Some charges evaporated when a few simple questions were asked.

Bieber said someone tried to make her into a mental case. For instance, something written on her document that she was not permitted to see resulted in her being put into a straightjacket the first few times she was arrested. She was also brought into court in shackles.

Bieber said CAS director Mel Gill instituted criminal proceedings against her without ever meeting with her to deal with her concerns, as is her right. She castigates those police officers responsible for dealing unfairly with her – particularly one who is the son-in-law of involved foster parents who handled her violently while handcuffing and arresting her during what Bieber said was a trap set just for her.

Perhaps the most serious irregularity was that committed by the Children's Aid Society when, in 1991, they allowed Bieber's daughter to be adopted. There were two court orders that stated Dora should not be put up for adoption, that it was against the child's best interests.

Bieber spent eight months in jail before finally getting a judge who, for the first time, agreed to a jury trial. She had previously had to submit to judges' decisions without ever having been al-

"To kidnap my daughter cost the government close to a million dollars."

Bieber was told she had a bad temper and as a pre-condition for seeing her daughter, Bieber agreed to appointments with a CAS-appointed psychiatrist at the Royal Ottawa Hospital. There she explained the problem between her and her worker: "She smells like an ashtray and like alcohol and she constantly provokes me." The doctor told Bieber to constantly 'kiss up' to Children's Aid because she could lose her daughter, and, without any prior medical examination, gave Bieber some tranquilisers to take before the next supervised visit.

"It was great – excellent – I didn't want to beat her [Foley] up any more even though my daughter was filthy dirty."

But the drugs were addictive. Bieber suffered side effects such as crying and for three years was hooked on the prescription drugs – the now-banned substance Halcyon, as well as large quantities of sleeping pills.

Bieber said she was instructed to take these drugs before every visit with her daughter and before every court appearance. She did so for three years – the time it took CAS to complete adoption proceedings for her child.

Because of a hyperactive thyroid condition, the drug, intended as a tranquiliser, had an opposite effect, making Bieber appear highly unstable when she most wanted to be calm. Aside from providing a reason to keep her from her child, the drugs left Bieber unable to work, further discrediting her.

Following the three years of proceedings, there is a one-year waiting period before the child could be adopted. Children's Aid had her adopted exactly one year later, in 1991. Once a child is adopted, the file is sealed. "No one will find out that a crime happened," Bieber said.

CAS spokesperson Karen McCarthy paints a picture very differ-

years.

"I put up the biggest fight that ever existed in Ontario," Bieber said. "For Children's Aid to kidnap my daughter cost the government close to a million dollars including court costs, psychiatric bills, three years of psychiatric assessments, jail, seven arrests, and 20 or 30 lawyers."

Bieber tells of years of unfair treatment and harassment at the hands of the CAS and the justice system, including even her own legal representation at times.

She was repeatedly arrested for uttering death threats. At one point she said members of the CAS would burn in hell for what they had done to her family. She was charged with arson, then

acquitted because the CAS building is made of bricks and the judge decided it would be ridiculous to try to burn it down.

Having been forbidden to see her daughter, Bieber arranged for her niece and a friend to visit Dora in July 1993. The two girls were sent away, but invited to come back two days later. When they did, waiting police took and held them at the police station until 8 p.m. without food or drink, questioning them. One of the 12-year-old girls was made to sign a document she did not understand. It was illegible and she was more conversant in Hungarian than English.

It was six months later that Bieber was arrested on two counts of attempted abduction as a result of these two incidents. When she got out of prison on bail, as many as 18 charges began piling up on her. Many appeared to be

allowed to present a proper defence including evidence of inappropriate druging and the statements of the two girls. Indeed, with the apparent ability to time the proceedings, choosing the court date to get judges sympathetic to their causes, the CAS had all the advantages.

However, with an impartial judge and jury, Bieber finally had her day in court and got the charges against her thrown out. But she still has no daughter.

There are many such stories of people who speak of abuse at the hands of the CAS. Like Bieber, they are not giving up the fight. A class action suit is being prepared against the CAS and many people have come forward.

"It's a true torture what they do," Bieber said of the Children's Aid Society.

"They stole my daughter and no one's supposed to challenge them. No one."

Free trade implementation scheme unfolding like clockwork says the civil servant ordered to falsify free trade documents

by Elaine Griffith

The former executive assistant to top free trade negotiator Germain Denis said she was ordered in 1987 to falsify, and eventually shred, briefing books on the U.S.-Canada Free Trade Agreement (FTA) in order to keep provincial premiers from wanting to block passage of the deal.

Ann Kealey, formerly Shelley Ann Clark, one of the FTA's proof-reading team, made sure unpleasant information was omitted from the doctored copies, for instance the statement that says by the year 2005, Canada will become a 51st state of the United States.

Kealey said she was told to alter the figures in copies of the FTA negotiation

Canadians still have not seen the full text of the U.S.-Canada "trade" deal

updates so that the amount of a certain resource or industry Canada was giving away would be drastically reduced in the 'cleaned-up' version. During the midnight-to-4 a.m. sessions, Kealey was made to delete whole paragraphs on the loss of Canadian sovereignty which were deemed unsuitable for provincial eyes, including all mention of harmonisation of Canadian industry standards down to those of the U.S..

The books were shown to premiers for the shortest time possible, Kealey said, to prevent photocopying or note-taking for scrutiny later when outside the tightly controlled, pressure-cooker briefings sessions.

After the meetings, Kealey said she had to shred all the documents in the midnight office work sessions, which continued until March 1988 when Denis wanted his file copies removed from his office vault and delivered to his car. "Where those boxes went from his car, I have no idea. But by looking at the index at the federal records office, they're not there."

While sneaking the copies out of the Trade Negotiations Office safe, Kealey said she saw documents the Canadian public was never intended to see, including the step-by-step plan for the implementation of the free trade deal, which gave dates for specific events in the process of merging Canada and the U.S.. And she said the implementation scheme so far has been right on the money.

The plan described the entrance of American Express into Canada, the changes in pharmaceutical patent law, and the implementation of NAFTA.

One of the steps in the plan was the GRAND Canal project, the goal of which is to dam off James Bay to make it a lake and divert the fresh water through the Great Lakes system to the U.S.. The separation of Quebec, slated to occur in 1995, is followed immediately by a Native revolt in Ungava (northern Quebec), then construction of the GRAND (Great Recycling and Northern Development) water export project is to begin.

With no documentation to back up her claims, Kealey has had a hard time getting people within the political establishment to believe her. Kealey, who has top secret security clearance, points out that illegally photocopying secret documents would have meant serious crimi-

nal charges. "The documents would have been taken away, and I would be in jail now."

To convince people the warning is serious, Kealey has only the accuracy of her predictions to establish her credibility.

So while the rest of Canadians sat breathless by their radios and televisions waiting for the results of the Quebec referendum, she was doubly anxious, torn between a desperate hope for Canada to stay together and a fear that if the vote turned out an overwhelming NO, people would doubt her claims and go on trusting the government that, she said, secretly plans to sell Canada out to the United States.

"I was paying attention [to the referendum coverage] for two reasons. I was scared but if they voted NO, my credibility would be shot to hell."

Kealey said she felt the same conflict of relief and fear on learning Lucien Bouchard had been brought in to lead the separatists, right on schedule. She said his apparent wavering between home life and politics is purely manipulation of public opinion.

"He's playing hard to get. The more he frightens Quebec by saying that he won't take over, the more power he'll get when he does," Kealey said.

"The thing that most Canadians don't know is he has the Americans on his side. Apart from the cover sheet [of the FTA], which said 'Lucien Bouchard is in place to do the deed,' and the implementation scheme which is the step-by-step, the other documentation that I saw was two things – some memos which had gone back and forth between the Canadian and American teams.

"One said that it was essential for

Lucien Bouchard is playing hard to get. He's too close to let go."

the success of the deal that [free trade negotiator] Simon Reisman had to make it appear that the whole idea was Canada's even though it was the Americans' idea.


"The other thing was the offer by the American business community to finance Bouchard's new sovereign Quebec. He and Quebecers would not have to worry about Quebec's economy. It would be looked after."

Kealey said the proof was in the pudding – last summer, just after the referendum date was announced, Bouchard was invited to address the business magnates in New York.

So why didn't the referendum question pass? Kealey said she thought the failure was a mistake in calculations. Quebec needs to separate before Canada can be merged with the new United States of North America because a bilingual Canada would be very hard to absorb. Continentalists cannot skip this essential step, nor will they give up after one near miss.

"The bankers will not let it die because they need our resources," Kealey said. "Lucien is going to give it another shot. He's too close to let go."

She said the powers that be have been planning this merger for a long time. And the free trade implementation




Ann Kealey holds up the free trade implementation plan she reconstructed from memory. Up until the Quebec referendum, the events set out in 1988 had all proceeded right on schedule. Quebec was to separate in 1995. But the year's not over! (E. Griffith photo)

plan set out seven years ago has been deadly accurate so far. "Being out by a few months – six months is peanuts."

However, Kealey said she thinks we can still turn it around. She pins her hopes on the Natives in Ungava, who may throw a wrench into plans for the new world order. "You never know what they are going to do. There are the peaceful Natives and there are the warriors. The Natives residing in Quebec are not chickens like most Canadians

are – they are fearless."

But, Kealey said, Natives don't fit the personality profile to which most Canadians conform that enables the bankers to mold our public opinion so predictably. She gave the example of William Ignace "Wolverine", who said at the Gustafsen Lake, B.C. showdown that he would leave in a body bag. "But eventually he saw another way to accomplish his goal. That's what I mean. The Natives are our one wild card."



A builder then and now:

The death of Glen Kealey's MICOT and the early days of CIPi

by Elaine Griffith

Glen E. P. Kealey has always been in the business of looking at what's to come.

In 1986 Kealey was a self-made millionaire land developer who had raised \$160 million, mainly in police and teacher pension funds, to construct a high-tech mall designed to be a prototype for the intelligent building.

His company had designed the MICOT complex, a model for buildings of the future, which included a computer mainframe, production quality laser printers, fibre optics, satellite communication, "intelligent" interior climate control and lighting systems, and all manner of space-age innovations. Kealey and his associates had re-thought the concept of a building in anticipation of the world he saw coming, with cities in the deserts, under the ocean, and perhaps in space.

He bought the land in Hull, Quebec, moved an elementary school that was on the site, and was all set to dig the hole when the investors asked him to get gestures of support from all three levels of government.

The municipal level gave Kealey a dispensation of MICOT's municipal taxes for the first three years (a savings of \$3 million a year).

The Quebec government had done a study that concluded the complex would create 5,000 permanent jobs. It offered to rent three per cent of the 1.5 million-square-foot building to provide small businesses with showroom space equipped for high tech communications, an option previously open only to big corporations able to make the initial investment.

Kealey approached the federal government armed with glowing reports: MICOT would generate \$17 million in annual revenue provincially and \$14 million federally; on top of the 5,000 people the complex would employ, the spinoffs of this project would also create 10,000 related jobs. Kealey met with federal minister of public works Roch Lasalle, hoping for similar support.

Instead, the government minister asked him for a bribe. Kealey was dumbfounded at being asked to take part in a crime scheme run by a government of



From a prototype of the intelligent building to the plan for Canada to opt out of the new world order, Glen Kealey has always been looking at the bigger picture. (Hans Blohm photo)

his own party, the Conservatives. Kealey refused on principle to pay the \$5,000 although it was negligible compared to the \$25 million he stood to make from MICOT. Months went by, but the government would not give its approval; at every level the word was, "You have to pay to do business with us." Eventually Kealey returned his assets and shut down the business.

Kealey took up the corruption issue within the Tory party, of which he was an active member. He made it quite clear within his riding association that he did not approve of these criminal activities. But the party was not interested in cleaning up its act. At the riding's annual general meeting, Lasalle's former chief of staff showed up and announced his team must take charge of the board election. In a sleazy manoeuvre in which 79 ballots were cast in a room of 72, this little team staged a coup and put in place their set of directors.

Kealey decided enough was enough. He divested himself of his personal property, reasoning that he could not protect assets at the same time as fighting greed. Then he began what was to be 1,000 days of protesting alone on Parliament Hill publicly accusing politicians of their crimes, hoping to provoke a lawsuit against himself so that he could tell his story in court.

Two and a half years later there were still no takers. So in 1991 Kealey tried it the other way. He launched a private prosecution against the inner circle of the Mulroney government and top brass in the RCMP, who covered up for the stealing.

In the court of Justice of the Peace Lynn Coulter, testimonies by former M.P.s, cabinet and RCMP were given under oath in a historic hearing that showed Mulroney and a number of Tory ministers in 1984 made a premeditated venture in organised crime, skimming

some five per cent from public works contracts. Bank accounts in Luxembourg and Grand Cayman Islands are cited. This illegal kickback scheme required knowledge of and compliance by RCMP commissioner Norman Inkster.

The charges of criminal conspiracy were successful. Coulter ruled there was enough evidence to go on with the case. But she was quickly removed and another judge was brought in to throw out the charges. Last year the only remaining charge, against Roch Lasalle, was stayed.

The media, who had initially followed the Kealey saga, began to change the tone of its stories. As Kealey's proposed solution evolved from merely shouting accusations, first to criminal charges, then to running for federal office, and finally to setting up a political organisation, the media began painting him as a crazy person, as they are known to do to people who threaten the status quo.

Ever the problem solver, Kealey was determined to find a way to circumvent the controlled media, stop organised crime run by the government and restore democracy to a corrupt political system.

He incorporated the Canadian Institute for Political Integrity, a think tank to study the problem of elite groups subverting democracy in their quest for power. After seven years of research, Kealey developed a theory that names the Egyptian pharaohs as the instigators of the long-range plan of world domination, along with a detailed history of how this power grew into the empire controlled today by the Rothschilds in Switzerland.

The solution Kealey proposed was the Assembly of Independent Canadians. The AIC is a group of free-thinking people who have agreed to run for government on the platform that they would change the existing Canadian system into one decided on by Canadians, with provisions for democratic decision-making and recognition of Native sovereignty at the national level.

Kealey would prefer not to run in the next election, but will use his hard-won expertise in fighting crooked politicians to help set up the necessary political vehicle and guide candidates through the murky, greed-infested waters of political corruption.

Knowledge isn't power, but it's a start

What do you do when you stumble on a secret so big the fate of the country hangs in the balance?

When she initially found out what was in the free trade agreement, Ann Kealey (Shelley Ann Clark at the time) tried to get transferred to another office, but was told if she quit she would never work in government again.

After a long period of fear, harassment by police and the Canadian Security Intelligence Service, bank account tampering, the ransacking of her apartment overseas and a mysterious poisoning at an embassy reception which she believes was an attempt on her life, Ann Kealey went public with her findings. But she encountered scepticism among media, co-workers and even at home.

The midnight-to-4:00 a.m. document falsifying sessions, which she could not talk about because the information was classified, finally ended her 16-year marriage. Fearing the harsh penalty for breaking an oath of secrecy, Kealey told no one and did not copy the documents, relying only on memory for her eventual disclosure five years later. "That's why the fear set in. Who would believe me?"

After years of living in fear, Kealey began a high-profile publicity campaign on May 26, 1993 with the help of her lawyer Harold Funk, who prepared her original statement.

She met CIPi founder Glen Kealey, who charged the Mulroney government

and RCMP head Norm Inkster with conspiracy in a scheme that involved defrauding taxpayers and operating an organised crime ring that collected kickbacks from Ottawa-area businesses. They later married and have gone on cross-country speaking tours, but, predictably, the mainstream media does not acknowledge either of them.

A younger, more naïve Shelley Ann Clark joined the National Party and ran as a candidate in the 1993 election to

They have hammered out what appears to be a solution to a problem almost unimaginably big: that the powers that be are not just planning but succeeding in a world resource grab.

If the Kealeys are right, the solution is within our grasp. It involves informing the Canadian electorate about what our leaders have been doing for the past few decades, and identifying the problem: the structure of government, which allows our servants in

the new constitution for Canada will set out certain rules, such as ownership of our economy and resources, national-level power for Aboriginal self-government bodies, and an industrial strategy to guarantee that Canadians manufacture as much as we consume.

The solution will take numbers and organisation.

The numbers will come when CIPi holds its cross-country "Wisdom Caravan" to spread the word and build networks to be ready for the coming federal election.

Meanwhile, teams will be working on the new constitution to be instated by a possible future government made up of members of the Assembly of Independent Canadians. The constitution will have to be airtight, yet flexible enough to survive anything the international bankers do when they inevitably try to buy, discredit and/or destabilise the new, independent government.

We're up against all the money and political power in the world. We must be sure we have the people behind us, because divided we will fall, as has been proven by centuries of control of most of the world's resources by a few small groups.

It's a long shot, but could you live with yourself if you don't try it?

What would you do in Shelley Ann Clark's place?

take her story to Parliament. But she was in for a rude surprise.

Party leader Mel Hurtig, hyped by the media as being a nationalist, did not take up her cause; instead, he buried it, refusing to meet with her or to return letters and phone calls from constituents.

So much for the media and political parties.

Together the Kealeys, in small meeting halls, in the alternative media and through their fax network, have managed to keep alive some of the stories of corruption at the highest levels of Canadian government.

Ottawa to work against us.

The solution then calls for harnessing the resultant outrage to build a new political system that will ensure, through inviolable riding constitutions, that the representative in government does the bidding of his or her constituents. A government that represents its people is so revolutionary a concept, there's no telling what might result once people start making their own decisions about their lives and laws. But to prevent a takeover by the same interests as before,

We'll only survive if we work together

by Thomas Mattinas

Indigenous people long ago realised the problem facing us all. Back in 1982 when the Canadian constitution was amended we knew that there were no advances being made toward true living by Native people. We had exhausted all avenues to make the government understand and that's why you see protesting and road blocks.

We as Natives understand that all the surplus that Canada has had has left the country. I believe it is in the hands of the world bankers.

I spent the last 20 years trying to find out what was the problem in Canada. I would go down to Parliament at least three or four times a month and sit and watch. I concluded that what they were doing was not according to a Native or a Canadian agenda, but another agenda. The purpose of this agenda is to control people. That's how you know they are working for someone else.

I started to look into the prophesies of Indigenous people, which I heard when I was a child. I learned that change was impossible unless we unite and focus in one direction and go forward as humans to survive.

Native prophesy predicts that at this date and time, which is the point where Natives cannot hunt and fish without harassment, at that point it would be the merging of a new people.

There was to be a beast that would devour all North and South America but before that would happen, the beast would come to an end. The way to kill the beast is you have to hit its heart. In my mind the heart is the heart of the system. The heart we have to hit is the world bankers, multinationals, big corporations.

A Native Perspective

It is in the prophesies of Natives that they have a role to play as well as the non-Natives, but that in order to fight the beast we must work in harmony.

We as Natives are now beginning to discover we've been Christianised and socialised to a state where we can't think for ourselves. The only way to do it is to base ourselves on

common sense.


Indigenous people have been low class right from the beginning. They have no land base. It's a small fraction of Natives that have hung onto the prophesies until today. But there are some of the people who still practice the old rituals.

We understand pollution is not by mistake. It's intentional, to take away Native independence by destroying all the animals in the land and in the lakes so we will depend on the bread basket of the big corporations. Gun control accomplishes the same thing – to take away this independence.

We have to change the system but violence is not the answer. It was violence that divided the people. I don't think it can unite the people. Peace and understanding will unite the people when we realise we all have the same enemy.

When Native and non-Native people travel together on the Wisdom Caravan, we hope we can work in harmony and bring about a new way of governing the country.

We as Native people have burned many bridges in the past. But there is one more bridge that we cannot afford to burn because we need it to cross that last river. The caravan will help Indigenous and non-Native people to cross that bridge together.



"Gathering to Speak" by Ken Ense: Natives are getting together to work out a parallel to CIPI.

Land claims should be paid by the bankers who profited from 500 years of exploitation

by Glen E. P. Kealey
president and co-chair, CIPI

Although the national media refuse to point this out to their audiences, traditional Native Indians at the Gustafsen Lake, B.C. standoff are on the same side of the economic fence as most of the media's middle-class audiences. These traditional Natives don't want Canadian taxpayers to pay

Make no mistake about it: Traditional Native Indians at the Gustafsen Lake standoff are on the same side as middle-class non-Native Canadians

Native land claims from their own meagre earnings. They want the international bankers to pay. They want the bankers to stop looting the profits made from our natural resources. Finally, they want all Canadians to share equally in the same type of guaranteed minimum annual income as they want.

National media, being under the firm control of wealthy elitist traitors,

whose own access to taxation loopholes is big enough to drive a train through, they, who share the secret knowledge that government has become a vast money laundering system for their international banker sponsors, keep quiet. As Tom Dennis stated, the media look at the events at the standoff through a microscope, when in fact they should be using a periscope.

When the national media tries to employ "victim strategy", telling both Natives and non-Natives alike that by pursuing this dispute people will push back Native land claims twenty years, they don't want their audiences to understand that in so doing, the Defenders of the Shuswap Nation are doing everyone a favour. No other land claim will be transferred to the control of non-traditional Native leaders, and ordinary middle-class Canadians won't be made to pay with their taxes for Native land claims they don't owe.


Later on down the road, once a true parallel government has been established, a "mortgage in lieu of land claims" can be arranged between the Canadian government and each Native, with a payment made directly to each Native person by means of a monthly cheque. Funds for this purpose will come from the reallocation of profits being made currently on the exploitation of these resources. Never again will payment come from the pockets of Canadian taxpayers.

Cracks in the media monolith

All media is not ignoring CIPI. Robbie Noel's show on short wave radio out of Arizona had Glen Kealey on the call-in show for an hour Oct. 26 just before the referendum, and then again Nov. 10. Noel invited him back because the last time Kealey spoke, "the response was overwhelming." Noel told Kealey on-air that he'd hang up a big map of Canada and do an update on the caravan every month for the full two years.

Then John Campbell of Sault St. Marie radio station CHAS called after the Robbie Noel show and asked Kealey to appear on his two-hour Sunday morning show, date T.B.A..

Actual unretouched photo!



Normally Kealeys and media don't mix. Mike Duffy provided the rare exception once, but don't hold your breath for it to happen again. (CIPI photo)

Assembly of Independent Canadians Mission Statement

(Revised Feb. 14, 1995)
The Assembly of Independent Canadians is a new political option for Native and immigrant Canadians who passionately love Canada and who consistently dream of living in a truly independent country. It is, in our view, the only remaining political option left for a free Canada as we move into the 21st century. Above all, the AIC is only for those Canadians who are willing to de-programme themselves to reject the widely held illusion that Canadians presently live in a democratic society. AIC supporters must actively participate in making the revival of Canada as a free, fair and independent nation a reality or suffer permanent expulsion from the Assembly.

The AIC will provide the fair political forum for the much-needed coming together of Aboriginal and non-Native citizens of Canada.

The founding parents of the new AIC must and will "lock in stone" a constitution for our land, like corporate unanimous shareholders' agreements lock in all future shareholders and require unanimous consent for change. Future members of the Assembly must accept, without reservation, an AIC constitution that will deal with the exploitation

for profit of our land, air, water and other natural resources, or forfeit their membership. It is intended that the exploitation of natural resources will primarily provide for each citizen a guaranteed annual income sufficient to cover the cost of those items considered essential to allow each person to evolve at his or her own pace, in order for all to become fully human.

The AIC will re-nationalise the making and distribution of money. The profits derived therefrom shall only be utilised to fund our Canadian infrastructure construction and maintenance programmes. The country will be re-tooled to manufacture the critical mass of all products consumed in Canada. International barter will be carried out through a system of newly created national "trading companies".

The people of Canada will obtain direct control over their politics with the creation of new, independent Constituent Assemblies, one for each electoral riding in the country. Electoral representation will be based on a combination of two examples: the Native Confederacy system utilising *runners* and the International Bankers' Swiss system of initiative – referendum – recall, with "ceremonial" leadership.

We the people now freely choose, by virtue of our own free will, to accept a version of history in line with our own common sense. We vow to use this new enlightened method in the future to guide us in introducing a better system of government to Canadians; in other words, **AIC supporters hereby agree to always face reality.**

political party or electing a new national government based on the existing system would change absolutely nothing and that we must be totally insane if we expect a different outcome will somehow result from repeating these same ineffective exercises over and over again; and whereas the preponderance of circumstances under that the patriarchal system under which we presently live is not at all responsible to the needs of families; and whereas the preponderance of circumstances with the people were programmed as children with false information about our true history as human beings on planet Earth (often this was foisted on us by our parents).

- To stop the LIVING
- idenitify the political moles who work within the media
- break up all media monopolies
- within the economy
- abrogate the U.S.-Canada and North American free trade agreements
- repay the existing international debt
- create national trading companies to manage international trade
- trade internationally only by way of barter
- create employee-owned business: full
- empolyment will be assured by a

or: Thoughts to be considered when reprogramming your ROM

AIC Preamble

- permit the government's money to allow corporations to borrow money from the banks at a low fixed rate, and individuals to borrow at a slightly higher rate.
- force the Bank of Canada to use the profit made on the creation of money to finance the cost of maintaining the infrastructure of Canada (bridges, roads, ports, power, communications)
- To overhaul the electoral system to replace the parliamentary system with the Assembly of Independent Canadians


- nationalise Canada's natural resources; divert profits from their exploitation to provide a payment in lieu of land claims to each Aboriginal and a guaranteed annual income for each citizen of Canada
- To re-nationalise the making of Canadian money
- abolish income tax and the Goods and Services Tax; replace taxation with a single tax on the manufacture and distribution of money to banks
- allow only the Bank of Canada to

- **manufacturing-employment strategy** in which Canada produces all the finished goods and services intended to be consumed here
- legislates to require all manufacturers to include an environment protection component into their cost of production
- correct **misuses** done to Natives
- establish a parallel government with our Aboriginal people: a **Heritage Senate**, which gives Natives a veto over laws passed that affect the natural environment

- stop the STEALING
- expose all political corruption involved in Canada's destruction
- appoint and empower an independent prosecutor
- replace the entire upper management team of the RCMP; review all past and existing RCMF "political" investigations
- review all government executive salaries, bonuses and pensions, past purchases, methods and service

Anti-corruption priorities for a new Canada

Cartoon by R. Brock and E. Griffith



Quebec vote showed it's time to clear the site for a new Canada



From the Desk of Glen Kealey

Traditional Natives revolted in August; traditional Canadians came to Montreal and wrenched a weak "maybe" from the people of Quebec.

Canadians are of like mind. Patriots, from coast-to-coast-to-coast, want a different Canada. Not a Canada of the politicians or their sponsors, but a Canada that belongs

to us. Most Canadians insist that it will be a caring Canada for each and every one: for the Aboriginal, for the native born – of French or English descent, as well as for more recent immigrants of different colours, religions or nationalities.

Canadians also believe it is time to examine our recent immigration patterns in order to determine a new consensus.

Most Canadians are fed up with the status quo. Most do not want less government. Most want less corruption in government. They are tired of how the legal industry has protected corrupt politicians from prosecution. They are tired of being pigeon-holed by media that belong to the elites. They are tired of being manipulated and brainwashed by churches, schools, book publishers, radio and the electronic media. They are sick of being "amused to death" by a profit-oriented entertainment industry while their kids take drugs and/or commit suicide because they have lost all hope.

Independent Canadians, traditional Canadians, who believe in both democracy and the rule of law are sick and tired of being sick and tired.

Today we, independent Canadians, vow to take direct control of our own lives. We know that crystal clear eye-witness evidence, of what was secretly destined to transpire during the referendum on the separation of Quebec, was shared with both the national media and political elite by Ottawa's Shelley Ann Clark and Glen

NO side rally to address Canadians. Instead, Chrétien orchestrated a final opportunity for Lucien Bouchard to address the undecided Quebecers on television. It was a knockdown punch. As the "Implementation Scheme", seen by Shelley Ann Clark in the Free Trade Office safe (1988) had clearly stated, "Lucien Bouchard is in place to do the deed." When leadership was sorely needed, Chrétien whimpered; Bouchard took charge. *This was all*

The Canadian revolution has begun.

Kealey (since 1988). But "our" politicians, courts, police and national media contrived to cover it up. Now they cry crocodile tears.

The NO camp in the Quebec referendum did everything it could do to help the YES side win. They threatened to reopen the constitution in the event of a NO vote. Jean Chrétien, if he had wanted, could have used his

contrived in secret.

Now it's our turn, people of Canada, to take charge. Now we will join together and "clear the site" – for a different Canada. Arm yourself with a video camera and join the AIC. Come with us on our historic cross-Canada "Wisdom Caravan" to set up a true democracy.

AIC must be a working model of democracy

by E. Griffith and B. Raisbeck

When the Assembly of Independent Canadians forms a political party to run in the next election, it must include principles of democracy in the party constitution to make sure it represents its members and to be an example for Canadian democracy. Many groups have attempted to organise democratically – the National Party is one – with varying degrees of success. But to start with, at the constitution level we must adopt some basic democratic principles:

1. Abrogation of the U.S.-Canada Free Trade Agreement and NAFTA

We are losing our country under free trade. No country, no democracy.

2. AIC as a model for the country

A. No corporate or union donations

We reject alien ownership of the

country and also of the party, since the party strives to show what is possible for the country.

B. Party organised according to people and provinces, not regions

The party should be a microcosm of the country and should be organised to balance the twin pillars of federalism – the principles of equality of the individual and equality of the member-state.

(i) When AIC members are elected into government the problems we have been addressing should have been problems related to Canada. Gerrymandering changes the focus of issues; setting up arbitrary regional lines will divert the AIC's attention from the issues facing Canada, which are related to provincial interests.

(ii) The forces that act on the party should be those of a state made up of

member states, not ones generated from within an arbitrary context of population-based regions. The party should not, for instance, be controlled by a board dominated by Ontario and Quebec just because of their high population. Rather, national committees, etc., should require a number of members from each province/territory so as to avoid over-representation by the provinces with the highest population.

3. Democracy means informed choice.

A. Choice means

(i) a fair ballot, one suitable to the question being decided. For a multi-option question the party should use a ballot other than the perverse single-X ballot currently used in other parties and in Canadian elections, which brought us the FTA and many other distorted results. A democracy committee should be set up

to review the democratic validity of any vote at any level, and to make available mathematicians' evaluations of proposed ballot systems the AIC or any of its constituencies may adopt (e.g. multi-X or ranked systems).

(ii) recall, citizens' initiative, and binding referendum, together with inexpensive voting methods – mail-in ballots, telephone voting, votes held at the constituency level.

(iii) clear and fair regulations on who can vote. To preclude stacking of meetings with instant members, a length-of-membership requirement must be met in order to vote; no financial barriers should keep members from voting; any national conventions should be held at forums where registration fees are not prohibitive.

B. Informed means

(i) openness – no information gate-keeping; committees should have clear mandates and mandatory minute-taking; paper trail policy within the AIC's party – requirement that members' letter will be acknowledged by officials and committees if the letter is accompanied by a SASE, since mail-outs are expensive.

(ii) communication methods – newsletter, computer BBS, etc..

4. Policies that follow directly from these principles

For instance: the AIC opposes any policies that are in clear violation of the principles of democracy such as political appointment of judges, and we support causes that promote democratic ideals, e.g. free press serves to inform people.

5. Other policies should be considered secondary to the principle of democracy; their adoption should be postponed until democracy is established and members are able to democratically vote on policy.

While the AIC will dissolve as a party after forming the government and setting up the new Canada, the constituencies will still all be in place and should have within their rules the basis for democracy.

Note: The AIC party election platform should make it clear in the 1998 election that a vote for this party is a vote for the new constitution, which brings with it not just democracy within the non-Native branch of Canadian government, the Assembly, but also the existence of the Native parallel government and the "fortress Canada" industry/trade policy.

by Elaine Griffith


The business community's against us, all four political parties are against us, the media's against us, the legal system's against us – and yet we are the majority.

The only thing keeping these interests in power is the fact that the majority doesn't know it's the majority. The majority of the country voted against free trade and we got free trade.

When you look into the abyss the abyss looks into you; individual people didn't want to look into the abyss and see that our ballot system was the cause. It was easier to think, "I didn't vote for free trade but I guess my neighbours did."

The people who knew the mechanics of electoral decision-making knew it was inevitable that there would come a time when we would realise we were the majority and that a political machine would spring up representing the majority, on the right side of the two main issues of the past decade: free trade and the Charlottetown constitution deal. They were prepared for this eventuality since, as always, they were organised and proactive, not reactive like us individuals.

The idea that there are big financial interests out to subvert alternative political movements is a logical conclusion, not the



Cartoon by Rolfe Brock

product of a diseased mind. A climate exists where being suspicious is considered to be evidence of a medical condition called paranoia, caused by a brain chemical imbalance. But suspicion should not be discouraged. It should be cultivated as healthy awareness.

Anyone who's been faced with the dilemma of having to confront a

drinking driver knows politeness can cost you your life. Mothers Against Drunk Drivers and other groups have helped create a climate where a person can say, "I don't think you're safe to drive. Why don't we call a cab?" and not get a shocked silence. With stakes like that, nobody is surprised if you put safety before politeness.

But in the Canadian political system, politeness has allowed all manner of bizarre and outrageous activities to go unchallenged.

It must no longer be unheard of to ask, "Why should I trust you?"; "What might someone say was in it for you?"; "What assurances can you give me that you don't have an ulterior motive?"

People should not be able to evade questions by pulling a righteous indignation routine or casting questioners as crazy for asking such a nasty thing. Many citizen groups have been infiltrated and have had their leadership hijacked and their goals twisted around 180 degrees.

Lessons in cynicism have usually come too little, too late. Did you get involved in politics to make friends? Let's be pro-active for a change. Let's encourage a climate of healthy suspicion in the AIC.

Who's on your side?

A survey of citizens' groups and political parties: What are they offering these days?

Who's out there? What do they stand for?

We are asking the following questions of citizens' groups and political parties:

1. What are the goals of your organisation?
2. What can an individual member do to further these goals?
3. What does the group offer that an individual member cannot do on his or her own?
4. (a) Where was this group on the 1988 U.S. Canada Free Trade Agreement (FTA) and on NAFTA?
(b) Where was this group on the 1992 Charlottetown Accord referendum?
(c) What did this group ask people to do in the last election?
5. What is this group's strategy for the next election? If there is currently no strategy, (a) when is this strategy likely to be decided? (b) what is the strategy likely to be (or unlikely to be)?

Action Canada Network (formerly Pro-Canada Network)

1. Goal: to co-ordinate the efforts of various progressive organisations to create a political culture for Canada based on non-corporate social values - e.g. equality, universal health care, fair taxation. The ACN is non-partisan but is made up mainly of the same groups who united in 1987 under its original name, the Pro-Canada Network, with a common opposition to the U.S.-Canada FTA.

2. In the case of ACN, individual members are groups, e.g. Council of Canadians, National Action Committee on the Status of Women, Canadian and American unions, churches, Native, environmental and anti-poverty organisations and regional coalitions. Individual groups share ideas and resources for the benefit of others. ACN considers voting and federal politics to be declining in importance and will probably be concentrating more and more on consumer boycotts and work stoppages. ACN has endorsed talk of general strikes by the Public Service Alliance and the Canadian Federation of Students.

3. Name recognition; media attention by virtue of the number represented by the ACN umbrella. ACN has its own campaigns as well as those of its member organisations. Its campaign for fair taxation held a two-day national vote on the GST. The ACN has its own newsletter, the *Action Canada Dossier* and the weekly two-page *Action Bulletin*. In 1988 the ACN published the educational cartoon booklet *What's the Big Deal?*

4. (a) Free trade: against.

(b) Charlottetown Accord: no position. ACN held a forum but could not get a consensus among member groups.

(c) Last election: through press releases and "Don't vote for free trade"

booklets and lawn signs, ACN tried to turn the focus of debate to social programmes and NAFTA.

5. Next election: strategy not decided yet. A steering committee of 20 member organisations decides policy. Also, ACN holds national assemblies two or three times a year, but since politics at the national level is not a main focus, ACN will probably not have a strategy until the election year.

- Source: ACN literature, interview with Marcella Munro, Ottawa office. ACN national office: # 804 - 251 Laurier Ave. W., Ottawa, Ont. K1P 5J6. Phone (613) 233-1764; fax (613) 233-1458.

Canadian Institute for Political Integrity

1. Goal: a democratic and sovereign Canada with a parallel government for Natives

2. Individuals can research, challenge in court and expose corruption in the current system so as to convince citizens that the system is beyond repair and must be replaced; set up a vehicle (the Assembly of Independent Canadians) to replace that system; write a new constitution for Canada, which an AIC government will put in place; bypass the controlled media by taking part in cross-country "Wisdom Caravan" to bring the message of the AIC to every riding; run as an AIC candidate in the 1998 election; campaign for AIC candidates at the 1998 Conference on Corruption and elsewhere.

3. Group offers a political mechanism to bring about Canadian democracy and independence. Kealey name recognition commands respect and/or fear in courtrooms, political and media circles. CIPI has a vast library of documented corruption and other material, a communication network, and now a newspaper.

4. (a) Free trade: against
(b) Charlottetown accord: against
(c) Last election: Glen Kealey ran as an independent candidate. Ann Kealey, who ran as a National Party candidate, joined CIPI later.

5. Next election: form an AIC political party, try to run candidates in every riding. For publicity as well as organisation, start a caravan to Ottawa, stopping to hold a conference on corruption in Toronto and ending on Parliament Hill with 100,000 civilly disobedient people armed with video cameras all demanding this new political system.

CIPI: Box 1634, Station B, Hull, Que. J8X 3X5. Phone (819) 778-1705; fax (613) 747-1644.

Citizens Against Bad Law

1. Goal: to provide procedural guidance and emotional support to people who decide they're not getting anywhere with lawyers and the justice



Happier days: CCAFT boss David Orchard stands by Glen Kealey near the spot where it all began. Now the Citizens Concerned distance themselves from him. It used to be that Kealey described the problem and CCAFT offered a solution. Five years later it seems it's the other way around. (CIPI photo)

system.

2. Person comes to CABL, usually having spent thousands of dollars on legal fees, and tells story to a panel that listens and usually recommends the person represent him/herself with the assistance of one of the volunteer panel members. Panelists spend up to two years helping individuals preparing case and appearing in courtroom. Person is eventually encouraged to buy a membership and stay on as a member to help others.

3. Expertise; experience of people who have represented themselves in court; emotional support. CABL holds public meetings and publishes *The CABL Sword*, a newsletter that exposes bad law and corruption in the legal system.

4. (a) Free trade: n/a. Note: CABL helped Shelley Ann Clark make her disclosure - panel decided she needed a lawyer and introduced her to a lawyer who helped her for free and by donation.

(b) Charlottetown Accord: n/a

(c) Last election: n/a

5. Next election: n/a
- Source: Shelley Ann Clark, CABL president. CABL: day (613) 231-7034; eve 739-9802

Citizens Concerned About Free Trade

1. Goal: Canadian independence through abrogation of the free trade agreements; justice in general.

2. Buy a membership; buy and read David Orchard's book *The Fight for Canada*; write to M.P.s; publicly shame the Liberals for not keeping their election promises; draw attention to the issue of free trade and the unjust charges against CCAFT organisers David Orchard, Marjaleena Repo and Rose-Marie Larsson. Between the 1988 and 1993 elections, CCAFT members lobbied the Liberals and NDP to make an arrangement not to split the anti-free trade vote as happened in 1988.

3. CCAFT's offices in Toronto, Saskatoon and Vancouver are resource libraries for information on the effects of free trade and related information. CCAFT organised the successful 1988 phone lobby of the senate to block the free trade legislation until a general election was called. Spokesperson David Orchard has name recognition and gets interviewed by alternative, and occasionally mainstream, press. CCAFT publishes a newsletter, *True North*.

4. (a) Free trade: against
(b) Charlottetown Accord: against
(c) Last election: see Council of Canadians (i.e. vote Liberal or NDP).
5. Next election: answer unavailable
- Source: CCAFT literature.

CCAF, Box 852, Saskatoon, Sask. S7K 4R7. Phone (306) 244-5757; fax (306) 244-3790.

Council of Canadians

1. Goal: to create a new kind of political culture with an emphasis on citizens' rights. The Council of Canadians' focus has changed in its 10 years - it used to mainly lobby political parties and voters to oppose the U.S.-Canada FTA. Now in COC's opinion governments have their hands tied, so people should concentrate on "battling corporate globalism on an international level and helping individuals in their communities protect their rights" rather than try to change the government. COC aspires to develop citizens' movements to write up a vision and a list of rights, develop negotiating positions in facing governments and corporations, and assume direct responsibility for democracy independent of government. COC organises letter-writing, petitions and consumer boycotts directed at specific manifestations of the "corporate agenda". Recent campaigns include opposition to Wal-Mart and Bovine Growth Hormone. Goals for the year are decided by the national board.

2. COC encourages individuals to buy a membership, as the organisation claims not to solicit government or corporate donations. Members who want to do more than that may take part in COC's Action Link Network, which produces a manual for activists and circulates a bi-monthly bulletin containing suggestions for letter-writing, talking to your grocer and dairy producer, taking part in consumer boycotts, etc..

3. Name recognition provides media attention and weight when recommendations are presented to government committees, etc.. The group funds causes that fall within its mandate if approved by the national board. The COC publishes a magazine, *Canadian Perspectives*.

4. (a) Free trade: against.
(b) Charlottetown Accord: employee did not know
(c) Last election: CoC did a riding-by-riding endorsement of the strongest anti-free trade candidate (as determined by the national board) which in this case was about a 50-50 Liberal-NDP split.

5. Next election: answer unavailable
- Source: COC literature, interview with Joanne, Ottawa office. #904 - 251 Laurier Ave. W., Ottawa, Ont. K1P 5J6.

Next issue:

Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives
Canadian Council on National Issues
Canadian Taxpayers' Federation
Political parties

... and more

Continuing: Another winter of discontent

by Tony Ruffo

So, what do we do now?

The excruciating October 30 referendum in Quebec saw Canadians battle their way through yet another chapter of the never-ending saga of our country's struggle to exist.

In our short history, we have witnessed proud Aboriginal peoples subjugated, immigrants used and abused, and – in modern times – the vast majority of people enslaved by the whims of the corporate/political agenda.

We live in a vast land, bountiful and prosperous, yet we share so little of its abundance.

Many of our fellow Canadians in Quebec have expressed a desire for profound change in the way our society is organised. Indeed, so have Canadians from sea to sea.

The Spicer Commission duly noted that "there is a fury in the land". It is our duty to channel that fury into creative change.

The Quebec referendum is a bell-wether warning of the imminent danger our country faces. If we do not take these omens seriously – and do so now – we will indeed lose our treasured country and our Canadian identity.

To suggest that most Canadians are content with the status quo – under which the ridiculously few with wealth are served by the overwhelmingly many without – would be a lie. But it is no more of a lie than suggesting that Quebec or the rest of Canada could somehow be better off outside of confederation. Without the tremendous strength of opposition shown by the Native peoples toward separation, without the spontaneous outpouring of concern by literally millions of Canadians as the official NO campaign faltered, it would already be too late. The Quebec referendum would have been lost, and our Canada would no longer exist.

Where did it start?

The seeds of this bitter winter of our discontent were planted in times long past, when

the profit of the few first took precedence over the good of the many. Since then, we have been continually manipulated into doing our masters' bidding.

Yet we – the people – have persisted in our own small actions of faith and good will. That we have been abused for our gentle and caring nature could, perhaps, be forgiven. What cannot, what must not, be forgiven is the loss of the sacred pact among the people: that we are all created equal.

Nothing less than the resurrection of this pact of equality will satisfy the Canadian soul.

Only by restoring its pre-eminence can the many thwart the manipulative actions designed and carried out by the few for only their benefit. And now is the time.

We the people must once again take control of the wheel guiding our destiny. Once again we must assert our profound belief in the human family. We must take action now.

In their own and in Quebec's referenda, Native citizens rose to the task by declaring their resounding desire to "renegotiate the pact" with all like-minded people *within the framework of Canada*.

The time has long passed for us to recognise that their future is *our* future as well, that *their* well-being is *our* well-being as well.

Likewise, the desire for change expressed in the referendum is a catalyst to change.

We the people have a rare opportunity in Canada today, but we must *act*. We must join together as never before to exercise our individual rights in order to protect our collective identity.

Today you are reading a new publication dedicated to the preservation of Canada and the restoration of responsible government. The Assembly of Independent Canadians is a forum you should seriously consider. It is a means of regaining control of our country and of our lives.

The very existence of Canada could well be left in the balance. And now is truly the time.

A view from Quebec

So you wanna be an activist!

A shopper's guide to citizen action groups

by Elaine Griffith

Democracy – justice – equality – ecological living.... All require a country able and willing to adopt these new concepts. Under U.S.-Canada free trade, North American free trade and the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, Canada's sovereignty – its ability to decide its own laws – is fast disappearing. You only have limited time, money and energy – which groups best represent your goals and propose the most plausible strategies to attain them?

Beware of:

Wasting time

doing pointless things like telling people what they already know Consider: the majority voted against free trade in a federal election universally considered a referendum on the issue. Now we've lived with it for six years. Who do you still need to convince? talking about the problem but giving no solution (or getting people to do time-consuming research on just how bad things are)

Consider: "Speak out", "network", "bring the message to the people", "focus the debate", etc., all mean "talk". Talk minus action equals zero. When reading through your group's literature, what are the subjects and predicates?

writing to M.P.s, telling them your vision of the world or of utopia, or demanding changes (also known as demanding that foxes do something

about the situation in the hen house) Consider: the Liberals continued on with U.S. free trade against the clearly expressed wishes of Canadians, then extended it to Mexico. Chrétien told Quebec that if they voted against separation, he would do what all of Canada voted against in 1992 – amend the constitution. What effect will another letter have?

Wasting money sending it to groups that offer no solution. "Educating people" so they might some day form a "movement" may sound like a noble goal... until you look at the time frame. Consider: next federal election is 1998 at the latest. The free trade implementation scheme Shelley Ann Clark saw gave Quebec's separation date as 1995, immediately followed by the GRAND canal water export project. What do we need to do right now?

Damaging your cause by discouraging appropriate action, turning people away from the place laws are written, telling them the laws matter but the law-makers don't (forgetting that NAFTA is a law made by people, not a law of nature). Consider: some groups actively thwart solution-driven action such as joining or forming a political party, taking on a bad law or corrupt politician in court, civil disobedience and the like. Does your group condone or encourage such actions?

The last word: Letters from our readers

Open letter to Bev Collins: water diversion is for real

...I came across [an] article out at UBC library on the GRAND Canal Project. It is interesting in that it was written even before the Free Trade deal (FTA) was signed. Everything that Shelley Ann and Glen Kealey spoke of in their Vancouver talk on June 25, 1994 is vindicated by this article.

...It's too bad that Shelley Ann's message isn't common household knowledge in Canada at this critical moment. But unfortunately, the controlled press has done their job well. If Quebecers only know that they are being set up as mere pawns by the American bankers in order to facilitate the destruction and takeover of Canada, and that they in turn will become cajun mincemeat if the nefarious plan succeeds.

James Shannon
Delta, B.C.

Too much of a sceptic to be sceptical of government

...Yes, some of this might well be true. But on balance the massive conspiracy theory doesn't win me over.

...Your organisational structure looks more like that of a consumer advocate group than a brand new system of government....

Shelley Ann Clark's FTA experiences are fascinating, but the mere existence of altered copy does not make a *prima facie* case of wrong-doing. Those copies *might* have been intended for draft use. Without being present at the meetings at which the altered copies were used, who knows?

Yes, fresh water is a key asset, and the U.S. needs what Canada has. But they need no devious scheme to get it. The supply/demand law plus U.S. capital will suffice.

My original reason for getting in touch was to see if your organisation could investigate allegations of ballot-box fraud.... (excerpt from open letter to Jean Chrétien)

...Norman Webster of the *Montreal Gazette*... reports that 11.7 per cent of the ballots in Chomeday, a Liberal riding in Laval with a large ethnic population, were rejected; and that in one poll in Marguerite-Bourgeoys 102 out of 205 ballots were discarded for reasons as trivial as having the two lines of an X of unequal sizes.

I would like to ask you to initiate an immediate public inquiry into these allegations....

Peter Parkinson
Ottawa

Letters page policy:

Address letter to one of the following: "Letters", "Reader to Reader", or

"Question Period", *The Kealey Paper*

1. Mail to: Box 56097, Minto Place Postal Outlet
407 Laurier Ave. West, Ottawa, Ont. K1R 7Z1
or

2. Fax to: (613) 747-1644

Letter limit 300 words. Letters may be edited for brevity, clarity, spelling, grammar or libellousness; standard editing notation will be used. In the event of a backlog, letters printed will be chosen for content, but a minimum of 10 per cent of letters page space will be allocated to letters drawn at random to show we're not hiding from any tough questions.

"Question Period"

We will print questions to M.P.s (or other public figures) side by side with the reply. Please send brief questions on current topics, along with replies, to the address above. Hint: to get a real reply to a letter, sometimes you have to follow up by complaining about the form letter you may initially get back. This column will give you practice for when you, an independent Canadian, get elected to government and can tackle them personally.

Rafe Mair, prominent CKNW radio talk show host interviewed NDP leader Alexa McDonough Nov. 1/95.

Rafe Mair: "If there was an NDP government tomorrow, what would you do about NAFTA and the FTA, cancel it?"

Alexa McDonough: "No..."
Submitted by Rev. Bob Raisbeck, Vancouver

Reader to Reader

Each month readers can use this space to ask and reply to specific questions. Strict limit: 100 words; note the question or answer number to which you are replying.

Q. #1 How would "Fortress Canada" work in practice? What specific things could we do individually and nationally to stay afloat?

E. Griffith

A. 1 (a) We should adopt the four-day work-week immediately after declaring ourselves an independent country. Megabusiness backlash will throw our industry into chaos; other countries will likely boycott our products. Canadians will have to tighten their belts in terms of consumption. Shortening the work-week leads to less production and less environmental damage. It would boost Canadian morale by solving the unemployment problem while not significantly decreasing wages, and would give people the extra time we'd need to make stuff we now buy, and to think through the new political reality in Canada. Info: Work Well Network, (604) 334-0998. Rev. Bob Raisbeck
Vancouver

to the Currency Act. For example, C. 19 (1985) now permits a \$20 silver piece. That coin should be circulated specifically to pay for repairing our crumbling infrastructure.

John Richard Bowby
Ottawa

A. 1 (c) We would have to break up the media monopolies and keep them from forming again. It is possible to legally restrict any one person or family, group, trust or corporation from owning or controlling more than one media outlet, i.e. only one newspaper, one T.V. station or one radio station. Also, create a fund to support journalists and writers who jeopardise their careers and families by exposing corrupt and illegal practices.

Philip McCormack
Surrey, B.C.

Q. #2 Why did nearly half of Quebec vote to separate when it's obvious they wouldn't survive without Canada?

David Clark
Ottawa

A. 2 (a) The politicians and media have been down-playing Quebec's demands for improved social programmes, one area the Canadian government has turned away from with its neo-liberalism. The people in Quebec who voted for sovereignty wanted to set up their own social programmes.

R. S. Rodyk
Gibsons, B.C.

The "national" media won't touch them

GLEN KEALEY

Kealey is the former Hull area commercial developer who exposed the system of organised crime run by Mulroney's government and the complicity of the RCMP and justice system.

In 1986 Kealey was asked for a bribe by Public Works Minister Roch LaSalle,

who offered him government support for his project in exchange for 5% of all government contributions on top of the \$5,000 up front. Investigating, Kealey found evidence of a massive bribe and kickback scheme operated and controlled right out of the PMO, and a close collaboration among the Tories, the media, and police. Kealey successfully charged 16 people, including members of the government and RCMP, with criminal conspiracy.



Clark was the civil servant working on the U.S.-Canada Free Trade Agreement who was called to work between midnight and 4 am beginning January 1987 and ordered to falsify, then eventually shred, briefing papers designed to mislead provincial premiers about what was in the FTA. Clark says the deal's implementing scheme has never been made public, and that it contains plans for Quebec's separation, massive water diversion, and absorption into the U.S. by the year 2005. After being abandoned by her union and threatened by secret service, Clark went public with her story in May 1993 and ran as a National Party candidate in the election. Canada's media monopoly would rather have you think about O. J. Simpson.

SHELLEY ANN CLARK

The lawyers won't sue them The politicians pass laws to gag them... But still the story gets out.

Now you can watch their videos

#1 The Problem	— 3 hrs.	\$25.00
#2 The Solution	— 3 hrs.	\$25.00

Order 2 videos and receive "Defenders of the Land" FREE
— the story behind the Gustafsen Lake, B.C. standoff

<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes! I want to join the Canadian Institute for Political Integrity. Enclosed is a donation of \$ _____.		
I would also like to order (specify):			
Item	minimum donation	quantity/specifications	total donation
Kealey Paper subscription	\$60	_____	_____
Teddy bears	\$20	_____	_____
Video #1 — The Problem	\$25	_____	_____
Video #2 — The Solution	\$25	_____	_____
Interviews on audio	\$10	_____	_____

Please make cheque or money order payable to the Canadian Institute for Political Integrity.

Total: \$ _____

Name _____

Address _____

Postal code _____

Phone () _____ Fax () _____

CICI, Box 56097, Minto Place Postal Outlet, 407 Laurier Avenue West
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1R 7Z1

Recommended reading

Cloak of Green
by Elaine Dewar
Your Global Neighbourhood
Report of the Commission on Global Governance

These books together show what's in store for Canada. *Cloak* tells how Maurice Strong has been using Native and environmental groups to promote his own ends (one-world government). Starts out about Brazil and half-way through, switches over to a recent history of Canada. Strong participated in preparing *Your Global Neighbourhood*. National governments are a problem for world government proponents, who'll do anything possible to get rid of them. They've been using these causes to shift the focus to the local and international levels where national governments can't address problems. Together they implement what was laid out in *Report from Iron Mountain*.

Conspirators' Hierarchy: the Story of the Committee of 300
by Dr. John Coleman

Written by a former member of MI6, the spy system working out of England, documents the degree to which world events and opinions are engineered by this powerful group. Lists the names of the groups and individuals you've always wondered about.



Give your favourite kid a Teddy and fund the revolution!

Adorable Teddy bears knitted by CICI supporter Eileen Lukassen are available by donation from the cross-Canada caravan or by mail. Specify first and second colour choices (they're all different). Suggested donation \$20.

I can contribute the following skills to the fight for Canadian independence: (circle skills)

accounting	drawing	photographing
acting	driving	planning
addressing	editing	postering
administering	embroidering	presenting
analysing	evaluating	printing
assembling	explaining	problem-solving
baking	expressing	proofreading
bookkeeping	filming	publicising
building	financing	recording
calligraphy	fundraising	researching
cataloguing	graphics	running for office
child-care	illustrating	sewing
civil disobedience	interviewing	singing
cleaning	inventorying	speaking
collecting	investigating	supervising
communicating	law expertise	transcribing
compiling	lettering	translating
computing	meeting	typesetting
cooking	musicianship	typing
co-ordinating	organising	word processing
designing	performing	writing
dramatising	phoning	OTHER: _____